arXiv:0904.2503v2 [math.GR] 16 Apr 2009

COHOMOLOGY, FUSION AND A P-NILPOTENCY CRITERION

JON GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ

Abstract. Let G be a finite group, p a fix prime and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G. In this short note we prove that if p is odd, G is p-nilpotent if and only if P controls fusion of cyclic groups of order p. For the case $p = 2$, we show that G is p-nilpotent if and only if P controls fusion of cyclic groups of order 2 and 4.

1. Introduction

Throughout the text let p denote a fix prime. Let G be a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G. We denote by $H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ the mod p cohomology algebra. It is well known that the restriction map in cohomology

$$H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \hookrightarrow H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \tag{1}$$

is injective (see [2, Proposition 4.2.2]). Suppose that G is p-nilpotent, i.e., P has a normal complement N in G. In this situation the composition

$$P \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/N, \tag{2}$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore the composition

$$H^\bullet(G/N, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{inf^G_{G/N}} H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{res^G_P} H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{F}_p) \tag{3}$$

is also an isomorphism. This together with (1) implies that, if G is p-nilpotent, then the restriction map in cohomology $\mathrm{res}^G_P : H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism. The following result of M. Atiyah shows that the converse is also true.

Theorem 1 (Atiyah)**.** *If $\mathrm{res}^G_P : H^i(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^i(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are isomorphisms for all i big enough, then G is p-nilpotent. In particular G is p-nilpotent if and only if $\mathrm{res}^G_P : H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. A proof of this can be found in the introduction of [8]. □

Atiyah p-nilpotency criterion uses the cohomology in high dimension. Another cohomological criterion for p-nilpotency using cohomology in dimension 1 was provided by J. Tate ([10]).

Theorem 2 (Tate)**.** *If $\mathrm{res}^G_P : H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^1(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism, then G is p-nilpotent.*

Proof. See [10]. □

D. Quillen generalized Atiyah's p-nilpotency criterion for odd primes ([8]).

Theorem 3 (Quillen)**.** *Let p be an odd prime. Then G is p-nilpotent if and only if $\mathrm{res}^G_P : H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an F-isomorphism.*

Proof. See [8]. □

Date: April 16, 2009.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D15, secondary 20J06.

Atiyah's p-nilpotency criterion can be reinterpreted in terms of p-fusion. We recall that a subgroup H of G *controls p-fusion in G* if

(a) H contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G and
(b) for any subgroup A of G and for any $g \in G$ such that $A, A^g \leq H$, there exists $x \in H$ such that for all $a \in A$, $a^g = a^x$.

By a result of G. Mislin [7], a subgroup H of G controls p-fusion in G if and only if $\mathrm{res}^G_P : H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(H, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an isomorphism. Using Mislin's result Atiyah's p-nilpotency criterion follows from Frobenious p-nilpotency criterion.

Mislin's type of result can also be provided for the concept of F-isomorphism. In order to do this we introduce the following concept. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a class of finite p-groups. We say that a subgroup H of G *controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}$-groups in G* if

(a) Any $\mathcal{C}$-subgroup of G is conjugated to a subgroup of H and
(b) for any $\mathcal{C}$-subgroup A of G and for any $g \in G$ such that $A, A^g \leq H$, there exists $x \in H$ such that for all $a \in A$, $a^g = a^x$.

The condition (b) can be rewritten as

(b′) if A is a $\mathcal{C}$-subgroup of H and $g \in G$ satisfies that $A^g \leq H$, then $g \in C_G(A).H$.

Theorem A bellow, which will be proved in Section 2, follows naturally from Quillen's work on cohomology (see [9] and [8]). Note that the "if" was proved in [4] and it is a direct consequence of Quillen's stratification ([9]). The converse follows from a careful reading of [8, Section 2].

Theorem A. *Let G be a finite group and H a subgroup of G. Then $\mathrm{res}^G_H : H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(H, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is an F-isomorphims if and only if H controls fusion of elementary abelian p-subgroups of G.*

In Section 3 we will prove the following p-nilpotency criterion that can be seen as a generalization of Quillen p-nilpotency criterion (Theorem 3 above) to the prime $p = 2$.

Theorem B. *Let G be a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then the following two conditions are equivalent*

(1) *G is p-nilpotent.*
(2) *P controls fusion of cyclic subgroups of order p in case p is odd, and cyclic subgroups of order 2 and 4 in case $p = 2$.*

Note that Theorem A and Theorem B imply Quillen's p-nilpotency criterion. We will finish this short note by giving two applications of Theorem B. The first application will consist on reproving a result of H-W. Henn and S. Priddy that implies that "most" finite groups are p-nilpotent (see [5]). The second application is a generalization to the prime $p = 2$ of the following fact: if all elements of order p of a finite group G are in some upper center of G and p is an odd prime, then G is p-nilpotent (see [12] and [4]). For the prime $p = 2$ we will show that if all elements of order 2 and 4 are in some upper center of G, then G is 2-nilpotent.

We would like to end this introduction with an example of Quillen [8] where the necessity of considering cyclic groups of order 2 and 4 for the case $p = 2$ in Theorem B is illustrated.

Example 4. Consider $Q = \{1, -1, i, -i, j, -j, k, -k\}$ the quaternion group and α an automorphism of order 3 that permutes i, j and k. Let G be the semidirect product between Q and $\langle\alpha\rangle$ given by the action of α in Q. $A = \{1, -1\}$ is the only subgroup of exponent 2 in G. Clearly Q controls fusion of cyclic subgroup of order 2. However G is not 2-nilpotent.

2. Cohomology and fusion

The aim of this section is to sketch the proof of Theorem A. In subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we will recall Quillen work in the mod p cohomology algebra of a finite group. This will be used in subsection 2.4 to prove Theorem A.

For a finite group G the *mod p cohomology algebra*

$$H^\bullet(G) = H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \tag{4}$$

is a finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra.

Let $\alpha_\bullet \colon A_\bullet \to B_\bullet$ be a homomorphism of finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras. Then $\alpha_\bullet$ is called an *F-isomorphism* if $\ker(\alpha_\bullet)$ is nilpotent, and for all $b \in B_n$ there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $b^{p^k} \in \mathrm{im}(\alpha_\bullet)$.

2.1. **Quillen's stratification.** Let G be a finite group. Let $\mathcal{E}_G$ denote the category whose objects are the elementary abelian p-subgroups of G and whose morphisms are given by conjugation, i.e., for $E, E' \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{E}_G)$ one has

$$\mathrm{mor}_G(E, E') = \{\, i_g \colon E \to E' \mid g \in G,\ g\, E\, g^{-1} \leq E' \,\}, \tag{5}$$

where $i_g(e) = g\, e\, g^{-1}$, $e \in E$. Then

$$H^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_G) = \varprojlim_{\mathcal{E}_G} H^\bullet(E) \tag{6}$$

is a finitely generated, connected, anti-commutative, $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra. Moreover, the restriction maps res^G_E yield a map

$$q_G = \textstyle\prod_{E \in ob(\mathcal{E}_G)} \mathrm{res}^G_E \colon H^\bullet(G) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_G). \tag{7}$$

The following result is known as Quillen stratification.

Theorem 5 (Quillen)**.** *Let G be a finite group. Then $q_G \colon H^\bullet(G) \to H^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_G)$ is an F-isomorphism.*

Proof. See [1, Cor. 5.6.4] or [9]. □

2.2. **Cohomology of elementary abelian p-groups.** One can easily deduce the cohomology of an elementary abelian p-group from the cohomology of the cyclic group of exponent p and the Kunneth formula.

Lemma 6. *Let A be an elementary abelian p-group. Then*

$$H^\bullet(A, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \begin{cases} \Lambda(A^*) \otimes S(\beta(A^*)) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \\ S(A^*) & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where Λ denotes the exterior algebra functor, S the symmetric algebra functor, $A^* = \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathbb{F}_p) = H^1(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and β the Bockstein homomorphism from $H^1(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$ to $H^2(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Proof. See [2, Chap. 3 Section 5]. □

From the previous lemma one can easily deduces that

$$H^\bullet(A, \mathbb{F}_p)/\sqrt{0} \cong S(A^*). \tag{9}$$

2.3. **The spectrum of $H(G)$.** Let G be a finite group. Following Quillen ([8]) we define

$$H(G) = \begin{cases} \oplus_{i\geq 0} H^{2i}(G, \mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \\ \oplus_{i\geq 0} H^{i}(G, \mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

$H(G)$ is a graded commutative ring. For an elementary abelian p-subgroup A of G, denote by $\mathfrak{g}_A$ the ideal of $H(G)$ consisting of elements u such that $u|_A$ is nilpotent. From (9), $\mathrm{res}^G_A : H(G) \longrightarrow H(A)$ induces a monomorphism

$$H(G)/\mathfrak{g}_A \hookrightarrow S(A^*). \tag{11}$$

In particular, the ideal $\mathfrak{g}_A$ is a prime ideal of $H(G)$. Furthermore,

Theorem 7 (Quillen)**.** *Let $A, A' \subset G$ be elementary abelian subgroups of G. Then $\mathfrak{g}_A \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{A'}$ if and only if A' is conjugated to a subgroup of A. In particular $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g}_{A'}$ if and only if A and A' are conjugated in G.*

Proof. See [8, Theorem 2.7]. □

Let us consider the extension of quotient fields associated to the monomorphism in (11),

$$k(\mathfrak{g}_A) \hookrightarrow k(A). \tag{12}$$

We have that

Theorem 8 (Quillen)**.** *The extension $k(A)/k(\mathfrak{g}_A)$ is a normal extension and*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(k(A)/k(\mathfrak{g}_A)) \cong N_G(A)/C_G(A). \tag{13}$$

Proof. See [8, Theorem 2.10]. □

2.4. **F-isomorphisms and fusion.** The following lemma is a standard result in commutative algebra.

Lemma 9. *Let A and B be commutative $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras and $f\colon A \longrightarrow B$ an F-isomorphism. Then $f^* : \mathrm{Spec}(B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Since the kernel of f is nilpotent, then for any radical ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of A one has that $f^{-1}(\sqrt{f(\mathfrak{a})}) = \mathfrak{a}$. Since for any $x \in B$ there exits $y \in A$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $f(y) = x^{p^n}$, then for any radical ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ of B one has that $\sqrt{f(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}))} = \mathfrak{b}$. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \sqrt{f(\mathfrak{a})} \tag{14}$$

$$\mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}) \tag{15}$$

is a bijection between the radical ideals of A and the radical ideals of B. In particular f^* is an isomorphism of varieties. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose first that H controls fusion of elementary abelian p-subgroups of G. Then the embedding functor

$$j_{H,G} : \mathcal{E}_H \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_G \tag{16}$$

is an equivalence of categories. Therefore

$$H^\bullet(j_{H,G}) : H^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_G) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_H) \tag{17}$$

is an isomorphism. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^\bullet(G) & \xrightarrow{q_G} & H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_G) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}^G_H}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H^\bullet(j_{H,G})} \\ H^\bullet(H) & \xrightarrow{q_H} & H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_H). \end{array} \tag{18}$$

By Theorem 5 and equation (17) it follows that res^G_H is an F-isomorphism.

Suppose now that $\mathrm{res}^G_H\colon H^\bullet(G,\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow H^\bullet(H,\mathbb{F}_p)$ is an F-isomorphism. Then res^G_H induces an F-isomorphism $f\colon H(G) \longrightarrow H(H)$. Consider A and A' two elementary abelian p-subgroups of H.

Subclaim 1: If A and A' are conjugated in G, then they are conjugated in H.

Subproof. By Lemma 9, $f^*\colon \mathrm{Spec}(H(H)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(H(G))$ provides a bijection between the prime ideals of $H(H)$ and the prime ideals of $H(G)$. Furthermore, if A is an elementary abelian p-subgroup of H, then $\mathfrak{g}_A = f^*(\mathfrak{h}_A)$. By Theorem 7, if A and A' are conjugated in G, then $\mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g}_{A'}$. In particular $f^*(\mathfrak{h}_A) = \mathfrak{g}_A = \mathfrak{g}_{A'} = f^*(\mathfrak{h}_{A'})$. Therefore $\mathfrak{h}_A = \mathfrak{h}_{A'}$. Hence, by Theorem 7, A and A' are conjugated in H. □

Subclaim 2: $N_G(A) = C_G(A)N_H(A)$.

Subproof. Since $k(\mathfrak{h}_A)$ is a purely inseparable extension of $k(\mathfrak{g}_A)$, then

$$\mathrm{Aut}(k(A)/k(\mathfrak{h}_A)) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(k(A)/k(\mathfrak{g}_A)). \tag{19}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 8, $N_H(A)/C_H(A) \cong N_G(A)/C_G(A)$. □

Subclaim 3: H controls fusion of elementary abelian p-subgroups of G.

Subproof: Let A be an elementary abelian p-subgroup of H and $g \in G$ such that $A^g \leq H$. Then, by Subclaim 1 there exists $h \in H$ such that $A^g = A^h$. In particular, by Subclaim 2, $gh^{-1} \in N_G(A) = C_G(A).N_H(A)$. Therefore $g \in C_G(A).H$ □

3. A p-nilpotency criterion

In this section we will prove our main result Theorem B. To ease the notation we denote by $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}$ the class of cyclic groups of order p in case p is odd and cyclic groups of order 2 and 4 in case $p = 2$. Put $\mathbf{p} = p$ if p is odd and $\mathbf{p} = 4$ in case $p = 2$.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then the following two conditions are equivalent*

1. *G is p-nilpotent.*
2. *P controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}$-groups.*

Proof. It is clear that if G is p-nilpotent, then P controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}$-groups.

Let us show the converse. Using Frobenius p-nilpotency criterion it is enough to prove that for any subgroup B of P and for any p'-element $g \in N_G(B)$, then g centralices B. The subgroup B is contained in $Z_l(P)$ for some $l \geq 1$ where $Z_l(P)$ denotes the l-upper center of P. We will show by induction on l that $g \in C_G(B)$. Suppose first that $B \leq Z(P)$ and consider $a \in B$ such that $a^\mathbf{p} = 1$. Since P controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_\mathbf{p}$-groups, there exists $x \in P$ such that $a^g = a^x$ and since $a \in Z(P)$, then $a^x = a$. Hence we have that g centralices all elements of order p (2 and 4 in case $p = 2$) in B. Thus, by [6, Chap. V Lemma 5.12], g centralices B.

For the general case, consider $B \leq Z_l(P)$ and suppose the assumption to be true for any subgroup contained in $Z_{l-1}(P)$.

Subclaim 1: For $a \in B$ such that $a^\mathbf{p} = 1$, we have that $[a, g, g] = 1$.

Subproof. We have that g normalizes the subgroups $K = \langle a \in B \mid a^{\mathbf{P}} = 1\rangle$ and $[K, g]$. We also have that

$$[K, g] = \langle [a, g]^b \mid a, b \in K \text{ and } a^{\mathbf{P}} = 1\rangle. \tag{20}$$

Take $a \in B$ such that $a^{\mathbf{P}} = 1$. Since P controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-groups, there exists $x \in P$ such that $a^g = a^x$. In particular $[a, g] = [a, x] \in Z_{l-1}(P)$. Therefore, by (20), $[K, g] = Z_{l-1}(P)$. Since g normalices $[K, g]$ and by induction hypothesis we have that $[K, g, g] = 1$. □

Subclaim 2: $g \in C_G(B)$.

Subproof. Take $a \in B$ such that $a^{\mathbf{P}} = 1$ and put p^e the exponent of B. Consider the subgroup $H = \langle g, [a, g]\rangle$. By the Subclaim 1, $\gamma_2(H) = 1$. Then, by [6, Chap. III, Theorem 9.4], we have that $[a, g^{p^e}] = [a, g]^{p^e} = 1$. Since g is a p'-element of G, g centralices all elements of order p (2 and 4 in case $p = 2$) in B. Thus, by [6, Chap. V Lemma 5.12], g centralices B.

This ends the proof. □

As a consequence to this we have the following corollary.

Corollary 11. *Let G a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G such that*

1. *$N_G(P)$ controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-groups and*
2. *$N_G(P) = C_G(P).P$.*

Then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. Let A be a $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-group and $g \in G$ such that $A, A^g \leq P$. Since $N_G(P)$ controls fusion $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-groups, one has that $g \in C_G(A).N_G(P) = C_G(A).P$. Then P controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-groups and, by Theorem 10, G is p-nilpotent. □

4. Some applications

We now present the first application of Theorem 10. In [5] H-W. Henn and S. Priddy proved that if a group G has a Sylow p-subgroup P such that

i) if p is odd, the elements of order p of P are in the center of P and, if $p = 2$, the elements of order 2 and 4 are in the center of P,
ii) Aut(P) is a p-group,

then G is p-nilpotent. This implies that "most" finite groups are p-nilpotent (see [5]). The proof of Henn and Priddy is essentially topological. In [11] J. Thevenaz gave a group theoretical proof of this result using Alperin's Fusion Theorem. In fact Thevenaz proved that if G satisfies condition i), then $N_G(P)$ controls p-fusion in G. This, together with condition ii) above implies that P controls p-fusion in G and therefore G is p-nilpotent. We now give a weaker version of Thevenaz result which also implies that a group satisfying i) and ii) is p-nilpotent.

Proposition 12. *Let G be a finite group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Suppose that the elements of order dividing p in P (or 4 in case $p = 2$) are in the center of P. Then $N_G(P)$ controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-groups.*

Proof. Let A be a $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-group and $g \in G$ such that $A, A^g \leq N_G(P)$. In particular $A^g \leq P$. Equivalently $A \leq P^{g^{-1}}$. Hence, since the elements of P of order p (or 4 in case $p = 2$) are in the center of P, we have that $P, P^{g^{-1}} \leq C_G(A)$. But, since P and $P^{g^{-1}}$ are Syllow p-subgroups of $C_G(A)$, there exists $c \in C_G(A)$ such that $P = P^{g^{-1}c}$. Thus $g^{-1}c \in N_G(P)$ and $g \in N_G(P).C_G(A)$. □

Corollary 13. *Let G a finite group and P a Syllow p-subgroup of G such that*

1. *all elements of order dividing p in P (or 4 in case $p = 2$) are in the center of P and*
2. $N_G(P) = P.C_G(P)$.

Then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 12 and Corollary 11. □

The second application of Theorem 10 is a generalization to $p = 2$ of the fact that if the elements of order p of a finite group G are in some upper center of G, then G is p-nilpotent (see [12] and [4]).

Corollary 14. *Let G a finite group such that $K = \langle x \in G \mid x^{\mathbf{p}} = 1\rangle \leq Z_n(G)$ for some $n \geq 1$ (here $\mathbf{p}$ means p in case p is odd and 4 in case $p = 2$). Then G is p-nilpotent.*

Proof. The subgroup K is nilpotent of class at most n, and therefore a finite p-group. Let p^e be the exponent of K. Then, by Hall-Petrescu collection formula (see [3, Theorem 2.1]), for any $y \in K$ and $x \in G$

$$[y, x^{p^{e+n}}] \in \prod_{0\leq i\leq e+n} [K, \overbrace{G, \dots, G}^{p^i}]^{p^{e+n-i}} = 1. \tag{21}$$

Therefore one has that $G^{p^{e+n}} \leq C_G(K)$. Moreover, for any Sylow p-subgroup P of G one has $G = P.G^{p^{e+n}} = P.C_G(K)$. In particular P controls fusion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{p}}$-groups. Hence, by Theorem 10, G is p-nilpotent. □

References

[1] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology. II*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 31, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, Cohomology of groups and modules.

[2] L. Evens, *The cohomology of groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1991, Oxford Science Publications.

[3] G. Fernández-Alcober, J. González-Sánchez, and A. Jaikin-Zapirain, *Omega subgroups of pro-p groups*, Israel J. Math. **166** (2008), 393–412.

[4] J. González-Sánchez and T. Weigel, *p-central groups of heigh k*, preprint, 2008.

[5] H-W. Henn and S. Priddy, *p-nilpotence, classifying space indecomposability, and other properties of almost all finite groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **69** (1994), no. 3, 335–350.

[6] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[7] G. Mislin, *On group homomorphisms inducing mod-p cohomology isomorphisms*, Comment. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), no. 3, 454–461.

[8] D. Quillen, *A cohomological criterion for p-nilpotence*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **1** (1971), no. 4, 361–372.

[9] ———, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **94** (1971), 549–572; ibid. (2) 94 (1971), 573–602.

[10] J. Tate, *Nilpotent quotient groups*, Topology **3** (1964), no. suppl. 1, 109–111.

[11] J. Thevenaz, *Most finite groups are p-nilpotent.*, Expo. Math. **11** (1993), no. 4, 359–363.

[12] Th. S. Weigel, *p-central groups and Poincaré duality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 9, 4143–4154.

Jon González-Sánchez, Universidad de Cantabria, Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística y Computación, Facultad de Ciencias, Avda. de los Castros, s/n, E-39071 Santander, Spain

E-mail address: jon.gonzalez@unican.es